

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,683		10/27/2003	Chia-Hsin Chen	2450-0577P	2047	
2292	7590	01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
		Γ KOLASCH & BIR	hsu, joni			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH	•	VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2676		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Joni Hsu Z676			10/692,683	CHEN, CHIA-HSIN					
— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E-betweens of term any be available under the provisors of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no overt, however, may a righy be timely filled by the period for reply septicide shows the test with trify (20) days, and any will the tablatory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply septicide shows, the maximum dation yeard will apply and will be period and provided the set or advanced to reply will be period for reply septicide shows. The maining date of the communication of become ABANCONED (30 U.S.C. § 133). Fraint to reply within the set or advanced to reply will be the set or advanced to reply will be considered the set of the communication. Period to the communication of the communication. 1 Part Responsive to communication(s) filled on		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THIS COMMUNICATION. The STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THIS COMMUNICATION. Any retyre ordered by the Office idea then there mention after the maining date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any support of the statutory produce and support of the statutory produce and support of the statutory produce any support of the statutory produce and support of the statutory pr			Joni Hsu	2676					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for mem my be available under the provisions of 3° CPR 1.55(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field Extensions for energy secondles above in the season of 3° CPR 1.55(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field If the period for reply seporated above is less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered directly. If the period for reply seporated become, the macerim and statutory particularly pain and eagings fix (5) monthly (30) allows a property of the second and statutory and statutory and analysis of the making date of this communication (30). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any secured patient rem dejustrems. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on			nication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence addre	ess				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (o period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howev munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minin tatutory period will apply and will expire S y will, by statute, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common pecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) flied on is/are. a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Deciseous Stalement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Other: Other: 5)	Status								
3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	′=	•							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			· 						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	3)	······································							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are elected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in His National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	Disposit	ion of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are objected to.	are withdrawn from considera						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Applicat	ion Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Rolling in the drawing (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•—	•							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	10)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Pro-892) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Pro-1520		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.4047.15				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:	11)	•							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	a)	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents have been receing documents have been receing of the priority documents have been receing and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2).	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National Sta	age				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	A44 - 5	.4/a\							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_		4) 🗍 [nterview Summary (PTO-413)					
	2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o	PTO-948) F or PTO/SB/08) 5) N	aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/692,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 recites "input processing" where it should recite "input processing *unit*", "output processing" where it should recite "output processing *unit*", and "plurality of First-In-First-Out (FIFO) sub unit" where it should recite "plurality of First-In-First-Out (FIFO) sub units". Also, "step(5)" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 recites "FIFO unit has said memory depth *is* equal to" where it should recite "FIFO unit has said memory depth equal to". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,683 Page 3

Art Unit: 2676

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the memory architecture", "the using of access frequency and memory depth", "said first access frequency", and "said readout". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the same access frequency". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitations "said queue" and "the deferent frequency". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,683

Art Unit: 2676

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlton (US005594467A), in view of Min (US006184907B1), further in view of Yamada (US006333788B1).

Page 4

8. With regard to Claim 1, Marlton describes a method for an image reducing processing circuit (Col. 7, lines 33-35, 42-45; Col. 8, lines 9-11, 47-49, 56-59; Col. 25, lines 7-9) including the memory architecture of two First-In-First-Out (FIFO) units (500, Figure 5; 224, Figure 13) for simplifying the using of access frequency and memory depth, the method comprising the following steps of: (1) providing an input processing unit (26, Figure 2; Figure 3) receiving input image data and delivering the image data (88) (Col. 5, lines 42-48); (2) providing a horizontal direction image processing (100, 104, 106, Figure 4) receiving the image data from step(1), quantifying the image data in the horizontal direction (Col. 7, lines 14-64); (3) providing a first step First-In-First-Out (FIFO) (110, Figure 4; 32, Figure 11; 500, Figure 5) receiving the image data from step(2) to read and write the image data, and having a plurality of First-In-First-Out (FIFO) sub units receiving and delivering the image data in sequence (Col. 7, line 65-Col. 8, line 3; Col. 9, lines 56-61; Col. 10, lines 6-14); (4) providing a vertical direction image processing (116, Figure 4) receiving the image data from step(3) (Col. 8, lines 29-32), reading and writing completely the image data, quantifying the image data in the vertical direction (Col. 8, line 33-Col. 9, line 55); (5) providing a second step First-In-First-Out (FIFO) (34, Figure 2; 224, Figure 13; Col. 4, lines 44-45) receiving the image data from step(4) (Col. 5, lines 56-59), and having a First-In-First-Out (FIFO) memory element implementing the readout and writing of the image data (Col. 14, lines 51-52; Col. 14, line 63-Col. 15, line 28); and (6) providing an

output processing unit (34, Figure 2), receiving the image data from step(5), and outputting reduced image data (Col. 4, lines 44-45; Col. 5, lines 65-67; Col. 26, lines 20-24).

Page 5

However, Marlton does not specifically teach that the first step FIFO reads and writes image data with a first access frequency, the second step FIFO implements the readout and writing of the image data on the first access frequency and a second access frequency, and the output processing unit works on the second access frequency. However, Min describes that the access frequency is determined by the FIFO capacity (Col. 1, lines 62-63). Marlton describes that the first step FIFO (550, Figure 5) has a capacity of 256Kx4 (Col. 10, lines 6-8) and the second step FIFO (224, Figure 13) has a capacity of 1 Mbit (Col. 14, lines 51-52). Therefore, Marlton inherently discloses that the first step FIFO reads and writes image data with a first access frequency. Since the second step FIFO is receiving data that was in the first step FIFO and the FIFOs have different capacities, the second step FIFO must inherently implement the readout and the writing of the image data on the first access frequency and a second access frequency. Since the output processing unit (34, Figure 2) receives image data from the second step FIFO, the output processing unit inherently works on the second access frequency.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the device of Marlton so that the first step FIFO reads and writes image data with a first access frequency, the second step FIFO implements the readout and writing of the image data on the first access frequency and a second access frequency, and the output processing unit works on the second access frequency as suggested by Min because Min suggested that the access frequency is determined by the FIFO capacity (Col. 1, lines 62-63),

which is well-known in the art, and Marlton describes that the FIFOs have different capacities (Col. 10, lines 6-8; Col. 14, lines 51-52).

However, Marlton and Min do not specifically teach that the horizontal direction image processing transfers the image data to column signals with a row column type and the vertical direction image processing transfers the image data to row signals with a row column type. However, Marlton described that the horizontal direction image processing is a horizontal interpolator (104, 106, Figure 4) and the vertical direction image processing is a vertical interpolator (120, 121). Yamada describes that horizontal interpolation processes the image data in columns (Col. 13, lines 42-55), and therefore inherently transfers the image data to column signals with a row column type, and vertical interpolation processes the image data in rows (Col. 13, lines 26-41), and therefore inherently transfers the image data to row signals with a row column type.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the devices of Marlton and Min so that the horizontal direction image processing transfers the image data to column signals with a row column type and the vertical direction image processing transfers the image data to row signals with a row column type as suggested by Yamada because Marlton describes horizontal and vertical interpolators (104, 106, 120, 121), and Yamada describes that this is how horizontal and vertical interpolation is performed (Col. 13, lines 42-55; Col. 13, lines 26-41), which widely used and well-known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,683 Page 7

Art Unit: 2676

9. With regard to Claim 2, Marlton describes that the input image data received by the input processing unit (26, Figure 2; Figure 3) is image data from a video source (28, Figure 2; Col. 5, lines 42-46), so this image data is inherently original image data.

- 10. With regard to Claim 3, Marlton describes that the output processing unit (34, Figure 2) is a medium means for outputting image data (Col. 5, lines 65-67).
- 11. Claims 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlton (US005594467A), in view of Min (US006184907B1), further in view of Yamada (US006333788B1), further in view of Kemeny (US006701393B1).
- 12. With regard to Claim 4, Marlton, Min, and Yamada are relied upon for the teachings as discussed above relative to Claim 1. Marlton describes that providing a first step First-In-First-Out (FIFO) unit (110, Figure 4; 32, Figure 11; 500, Figure 5). Marlton describes that the first step FIFO receives the image data from the horizontal interpolator (106, Figure 4; Col. 7, line 65-Col. 8, line 3; Col. 9, lines 56-61; Col. 10, lines 6-14), and the video input lines to the first step FIFO are horizontal lines (130, Figure 6, Figure 7). These lines are then fed to the vertical scaler (116, Figure 4; Col. 9, lines 23-26). Therefore, Marlton inherently discloses that receiving the image data and delivering the image data in sequence includes the following steps: (1) reading the image data in the horizontal direction, and writing the image data on a first access frequency by using a First-In-First-Out (FIFO) way; and (2) outputting the image data in the

horizontal direction in sequence on the first access frequency; and (3) when running step(1) and step(2), both of them are working at the same frequency (Col. 10, lines 6-20).

However, Marlton, Min, and Yamada do not teach writing the image data into a queue. However, Kemeny describes a first (42-0, Figure 3) and second (42-1) FIFO queue with different access frequencies. The first FIFO queue writes and outputs data at the same frequency (Col. 10, line 59-Col. 11, line 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the devices of Marlton, Min, and Yamada to include writing the image data into a queue as suggested by Kemeny because Kemeny suggests that the advantage of using FIFO queues is that data can be ordered according to priority (Col. 1, line 60-Col. 2, line 4).

13. With regard to Claim 6, Marlton describes that providing the second step First-In-First-Out (FIFO) (34, Figure 2; 224, Figure 13; Col. 4, lines 44-45). Markton describes that the second step FIFO receives the image data from the vertical interpolator (116, Figure 4; Col. 8, line 33-Col. 9, line 55; Col. 5, lines 56-59). Therefore, Marlton inherently discloses that receiving the image data and transferring image data includes the following steps: (1) reading the image data in the vertical direction; and (2) outputting the image data in the vertical direction in sequence (Col. 14, lines 66-67). As discussed in the rejection for Claim 1, the second step FIFO receives data that was in the first step FIFO, and the FIFOs have different capacities, so the second step FIFO must inherently read and write the image data on the first access frequency by using the First-In-First-Out (FIFO) way; and output the image data on the second access frequency; and when running step(1) and step(2), both of them are working at the deferent frequency.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,683

Art Unit: 2676

However Marlton does not teach writing the image data into the queue. However, Kemeny describes a first (42-0, Figure 3) and second (42-1) FIFO queue with different access frequencies. The second FIFO queue receives data from the first FIFO queue (Col. 10, line 59-Col. 11, line 7). Therefore, the second FIFO queue must inherently write the data into the queue on the first access frequency of the first FIFO queue, then output the data on the second access frequency of the second FIFO queue, so when running step(1) and step(2), both of them are working at the deferent frequency.

Page 9

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the device of Marlton to include writing the image data into a queue as suggested by Kemeny because Kemeny suggests that the advantage of using FIFO queues is that data can be ordered according to priority (Col. 1, line 60-Col. 2, line 4).

14. With regard to Claim 7, Marlton does not specifically teach that the second step First-In-First-Out (FIFO) unit has a one-input-one-output (FIFO) memory architecture implementing a transferring of the first and second access frequency. However, Min describes that the access frequency is determined by the FIFO capacity (Col. 1, lines 62-63). Marlton describes that the first step FIFO (550, Figure 5) has a capacity of 256Kx4 (Col. 10, lines 6-8) and the second step FIFO (224, Figure 13) has a capacity of 1 Mbit (Col. 14, lines 51-52). Since Marlton describes that the second step FIFO is receiving data that was in the first step FIFO and the FIFOs have different capacities, the second step FIFO must inherently receive image data at the first access frequency and output image data at the second access frequency, as discussed in the rejection for

Claim 1. Therefore, the second step FIFO has a one-input-one-output (FIFO) memory architecture implementing a transferring of the first and second access frequency.

15. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlton (US005594467A), in view of Min (US006184907B1), further in view of Yamada (US006333788B1), further in view of Kemeny (US006701393B1), further in view of Welles (US004646151A).

Marlton, Min, Yamada, and Kemeny are relied upon for the teachings as discussed above relative to Claim 4. Marlton describes a method for an image reducing processing circuit (Col. 7, lines 33-35, 42-45; Col. 8, lines 9-11, 47-49, 56-59; Col. 25, lines 7-9) and a first step FIFO (500, Figure 5; Col. 10, lines 6-14).

However, Marlton, Min, Yamada, and Kemeny do not teach that the first step First-In-First-Out (FIFO) unit has the memory depth equal to a memory depth of the reduced image. However Welles describes a FIFO with a memory depth that can be expanded to any desired amount. Welles describes that the FIFO memory depth is sufficient for the image data (Col. 6, line 67-Col. 7, line 3; Col. 5, lines 7-10). Therefore, Welles inherently describes that the FIFO unit has the memory depth equal to a memory depth of the image.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the devices of Marlton, Min, Yamada, and Kemeny so that the first step First-In-First-Out (FIFO) unit has the memory depth equal to a memory depth of the reduced image as suggested by Welles because Welles suggests the advantage of having sufficient memory to store the image (Col. 6, line 67-Col. 7, line 3; Col. 5, lines 7-10).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joni Hsu whose telephone number is 703-305-4418. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew C. Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JH

Marthew C. Bella SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600